Hoch memo 10/21/69 on Dr. Alexis H. Davison 10/30/69

CD87:569- Do we have Kelley's MT 12/9/63. If not, should we assume it contains nothing but the words from the notebook.

Wrong address- so typical of LHO. He'd put down what would be close should be go there, sometimes non-existing addresses, sometimes the wrong one, but close.

p. 1, last per: I wonder how typical it is of US embessies that the military supply the doctor who had the "duty to exemine all persons cming to the USA", especially a doctor subsequently involved in an espionage scendal? The State ept is not without funds for the normal operation of the embassies. Medical should qualify as normal/

P. 2, par 2: It is simply beyond belief that anyone in the US Moscow Embassy examined either a defecter or that rerity, the wife he was getting out of the USSR, without recollection of it. It is even less likely that Davison gave his mother's address to those he did not recall, especially an elderly, widowed mother. Security- conscious as everyone in the US government was in those days, the lest thing anyone in the USEmb Mascow would be expected to do is fraternize with a genuine defector. His doing this marks him the biggest fool in the military or a man who knew what was not public. How could be expect a man so destitute the government was advancing the cost of his travel home, a man entirely without skill or trade, to be in Atlanta? It was not on any path to Texas, therefore, unless a fool, he must have had reason to expect Oswald might, on some occasion, be in the area, or this is a misrepresentation of what he said.

Nowhere in this report is "svison's address give, nor is the place of interview or manner (could it have been by phone, for example, as in other cases, where the realities had to be avoided, it was done?).

Rather interesting that Davison springs from people both of whom were part of what the USSR regards as invasuous of its territory. This, together with his assignment and the absnece of any suggestion of his/his mother's phitical beliefs, suggests he would have little difficulty with the security check. But does it suggest the type of uptringing that would lead him to send to his mother a Russian his own files showed was a member of Komsommol and whose uncle was an NKD official?

The mother's illness qualifies as one of the more convenient ones.

CD235:2 Because she saw the FBI in a very few days. For what purpose? To write another On-The-Ball*Wall report, a repititious nothing, that her son had been embassy doctor and did exemine those coming to the US. Harding is a surrogate Wall, as those of you who have done your own enelysis of his reports or remember some of my writings may know. Example: interview with Bob Brown and other NSRP stuff, False Oswald materials; Guban stuff. Onlt he uses more words than Wall.

CD337:4 is really exciting.! And magical. If the FBI was, as Faul notes, trying to "locate and interview" Davison in New York, having already interviewed him in Atlante, it either had reason to believe he was in New York or really had other rurposes that it hides. This report does not say Davison was temporarily evailable at 431 Roverside Drive; it gives that as his address. What a fascinating address, of "students attending columbia "niversity"! A doctor not taking an advance d gree but regularly em loyed a thousand miles away? Som the FBI had as Davison's address what could not have been his address. Faul's are all possible ex lenstions, but snother is the typical FBI non-taking of notes in which the passing of information, verbally, through too many minds, got it switched, the possibility most likely being that he could be reached

It is also possible to speculate that this address has some significance that got lost in the FBI shuffling. Looking for Davison at 431 Riverside is something like the non-reason for speaking to Guy Benister, 531 Lafayette, about the people with whom he presumeably had no connection at what is presented as a different address, 544 Camp St. It makes sense only if there is an invisible connection.

The two Captain Davisons, both at the same "advance." shoool, after their educations are completed, that is, their professional educations, bracketed with the politics of their background, likewise is fascinating as it is confusing. Whichever one of the brothers (the language permits arguing either) went to the Russian Institute after he became a captain, is this also not fascinating? Why should a doctor, if only one was, go town a political institute and then accept a medical assignment in the USSR? This is like asking Bartestif the Tuben orgabization created by the CIA was anti-Castro....Do not forget, there is no such thing as any military attache in any embassy, of any country, in any country, who does not have recognized intelligence functions. It is for this reason he exists. That is strongs is the medical assignment of Alexis in Moscow.

If I were to make a guess, it would be that the FEI went to columbia to get a rundown on what was really involved, not to "locate and interview" Alexia. But they did not dare make a record of their real purposes. They had to use a cover for their own records and from the Commission, whose Zawyers should have seen through this transparency with no difficulty, if they had wanted to. This makes much more important and ever so much more likely to have significance that there was such an entry in IHO's notebook.

CD409:3 (they are carefully consigned to separate files by the everthoughtful FEI) focuses more attention on the two previous FBI futilities. Is it possible they didn't think to ask the mother where her son was? No. They didn't went to interview him. When they had, to, they did, like they interviewed Sam Newman, not to report what he told them, that Benister, personally, arranged with him for the CRC space. Taul's observations are sound. To them may be added the existence of a medical bureau in every city, had the FBI any reason not to ask the mother or the Secret Service. The two children cannot be an accidental error in this report. "erding knew better, and so did "avison. It is entirely unlikely k that Oswald said he didn't know where he was going but it would be in the south. The State Department even elerted the Texas REW of swald's rights should be fail to recall or invoke them-before as left or at least before he arrived. It is unlikely that Davison knew nothing about the case, as it is unlikely that "arding or his associate Rose didn't know what the School Brigade, Et. Benning, Ca., was really doing-or what rown was and had been-or that he didn't ask Brown why he had been silent to the government and talkative to others. With Alexis permanently located in Atlanta, employed there, either he has a wife and family 'and a phone' or he hesn't. This report avoids that, as it avoids his addresso which is anything but normal FBI practise. There must be a reason. I have already suggested the possibility he is a beahelor and may, in fact. live with the widowed mother. I suggest if im can he check the Atlanta phone books and city directories for the past and present. Can it be believed that he would remember this rare thing, that for the one and only time he gave his mother's address to strangers, but recall no real reason and nothing about them, not even what they looked like, when both were so execptional, a defecting defector what had told that seme embassy he was going to give away military sec. ets and then barganined over the 'no prosecution) conditions of his return, and a Russian somen her government was permitting to leave the country? None o this is credible, particularly in a man whose assigned was intelligence, as all military attaches are, most of all in the USSR. I find the out-of-character editorializing at Faul's opening modest; more than xexmixed justified, and believe this should be followed carefully and thoroughly. I have met Wise (the letter) and offer to be the one to speak to him. me is, I believe, in ashington. I also suggest that we first get all we think we wi be able to.... And I wonder whay they waited two months to go back to Pavison for r